Case 5:08-cv-00877-PSG Document 142 Filed 06/16/09 Page 1 of 16

** E-filed 06/16/09 **

1 2 3 4 5 6	timothy.walker@klgates.com Harold H. Davis, Jr. (SBN 235552) harold.davis@klgates.com Holly Hogan (SBN 238714) holly.hogan@klgates.com Jas Dhillon (SBN 252842) jas.dhillon@klgates.com K&L GATES LLP Four Embarcadero Center, Suite 1200 San Francisco, CA 94111-5994 Telephone: 415.882.8200	John L. Cooper (State Bar No. 050324) jcooper@fbm.com Jeffrey M. Fisher (State Bar No. 155284) jfisher@fbm.com Farella Braun & Martel LLP 235 Montgomery Street, 17th Floor San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: (415) 954-4400 Facsimile: (415) 954-4480 Attorneys for Defendants TECHNOLOGY PROPERTIES LIMITED	
7	Facsimile: 415.882.8220	and ALLIACENSE LIMITED	
8	AMERICA CORPORATION and	Charles T. Hoge, Esq. (State Bar No. 110696) choge@knlh.com Kirby Noonan Lance & Hoge	
10		35 Tenth Avenue San Diego, CA 92101	
11		Telephone: (619) 231-8666 Facsimile: (619) 231-9593	
12		Attorneys for Defendant	
13	·	PATRIOT SCIENTIFIC CORPORATION	
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
15	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
16	SAN JOSE DIVISION		
17	ACER, INC., ACER AMERICA CORPORATION and GATEWAY, INC.,	Case No. 5:08-cv-00877 JF	
18			
19	Plaintiffs,	[PROPOSED] STIPULATED	
	Plaintiffs, v.	 PROPOSED STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER AS AMENDED BY THE COURT	
20	v. TECHNOLOGY PROPERTIES LIMITED,	PROTECTIVE ORDER	
20 21	v. TECHNOLOGY PROPERTIES LIMITED, PATRIOT SCIENTIFIC CORPORATION, and ALLIACENSE LIMITED,	PROTECTIVE ORDER	
202122	v. TECHNOLOGY PROPERTIES LIMITED, PATRIOT SCIENTIFIC CORPORATION, and	PROTECTIVE ORDER	
20 21	v. TECHNOLOGY PROPERTIES LIMITED, PATRIOT SCIENTIFIC CORPORATION, and ALLIACENSE LIMITED, Defendants.	PROTECTIVE ORDER	
202122	v. TECHNOLOGY PROPERTIES LIMITED, PATRIOT SCIENTIFIC CORPORATION, and ALLIACENSE LIMITED, Defendants.	PROTECTIVE ORDER AS AMENDED BY THE COURT merica Corp. and Gateway, Inc. (collectively	
20212223	v. TECHNOLOGY PROPERTIES LIMITED, PATRIOT SCIENTIFIC CORPORATION, and ALLIACENSE LIMITED, Defendants. WHEREAS, Plaintiffs Acer Inc., Acer An	PROTECTIVE ORDER AS AMENDED BY THE COURT merica Corp. and Gateway, Inc. (collectively ies Limited, Patriot Scientific Corporation and	
2021222324	v. TECHNOLOGY PROPERTIES LIMITED, PATRIOT SCIENTIFIC CORPORATION, and ALLIACENSE LIMITED, Defendants. WHEREAS, Plaintiffs Acer Inc., Acer Ai "Plaintiffs") and Defendants Technology Properti	PROTECTIVE ORDER AS AMENDED BY THE COURT merica Corp. and Gateway, Inc. (collectively less Limited, Patriot Scientific Corporation and lets"), by and through their respective counsel of	
202122232425	TECHNOLOGY PROPERTIES LIMITED, PATRIOT SCIENTIFIC CORPORATION, and ALLIACENSE LIMITED, Defendants. WHEREAS, Plaintiffs Acer Inc., Acer And "Plaintiffs") and Defendants Technology Propert Alliacense Limited LLC (collectively "Defendant	PROTECTIVE ORDER AS AMENDED BY THE COURT merica Corp. and Gateway, Inc. (collectively less Limited, Patriot Scientific Corporation and lets"), by and through their respective counsel of	

STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER

1. PURPOSES AND LIMITATIONS

Disclosure and discovery activity in this action are likely to involve production of confidential, proprietary, or private information for which special protection from public disclosure and from use for any purpose other than prosecuting this litigation would be warranted. Accordingly, the parties hereby stipulate to and petition the court to enter the following Stipulated Protective Order. The parties acknowledge that this Order does not confer blanket protections on all disclosures or responses to discovery and that the protection it affords extends only to the limited information or items that are entitled under the applicable legal principles to treatment as confidential. The parties further acknowledge, as set forth in Section 10, below, that this Stipulated Protective Order creates no entitlement to file confidential information under seal; Civil Local Rule 79-5 sets forth the procedures that must be followed and reflects the standards that will be applied when a party seeks permission from the court to file material under seal.

2. **DEFINITIONS**

- 2.1 <u>Party</u>: any party to this action, including all of its officers, directors, employees, consultants, retained experts, and outside counsel (and their support staff).
- 2.2 <u>Disclosure or Discovery Material</u>: all items or information, regardless of the medium or manner generated, stored, or maintained (including, among other things, testimony, transcripts, or tangible things) that are produced or generated in disclosures or responses to discovery in this matter.
- 2.3 "Confidential" Information or Items: information (regardless of how generated, stored or maintained) or tangible things that qualify for protection under standards developed under F. R. Civ. P. 26(c). Further, pursuant to this Court's May 14, 2009 Order, the only portions of Defendants' Preliminary Infringement Contentions that should be treated as "confidential" are what defendants crafted themselves (i.e. any reverse-engineering reports, etc.) as well as whatever works, notes, markings, highlighting, conclusions or observations they added to the Preliminary Infringement Contentions documents.

- 2.4 <u>"Highly Confidential Attorneys' Eyes Only" Information or Items</u>: extremely sensitive "Confidential Information or Items" whose disclosure to another Party or nonparty would create a substantial risk of serious injury that could not be avoided by less restrictive means.
- 2.5 <u>Receiving Party</u>: a Party that receives Disclosure or Discovery Material from a Producing Party.
- 2.6 <u>Producing Party</u>: a Party or non-party that produces Disclosure or Discovery Material in this action.
- 2.7 <u>Designating Party</u>: a Party or non-party that designates information or items that it produces in disclosures or in responses to discovery as "Confidential" or "Highly Confidential Attorneys' Eyes Only."
- 2.8 <u>Protected Material</u>: any Disclosure or Discovery Material that is designated as "Confidential" or as "Highly Confidential Attorneys' Eyes Only."
- 2.9 <u>Outside Counsel</u>: attorneys who are not employees of a Party but who are retained to represent or advise a Party in this action.
- 2.10 Expert: a person with specialized knowledge or experience in a matter pertinent to the litigation who has been retained by a Party or its counsel to serve as an expert witness or as a consultant in this action and who is not a past or a current employee of a Party or of a competitor of a Party's and who, at the time of retention, is not anticipated to become an employee of a Party or a competitor of a Party's. This definition includes a professional jury or trial consultant retained in connection with this litigation.
- 2.11 <u>Professional Vendors</u>: persons or entities that provide litigation support services (e.g., photocopying; videotaping; translating; preparing exhibits or demonstrations; organizing, storing, retrieving data in any form or medium; etc.) and their employees and subcontractors.
- 2.12 <u>Employee of the Receiving Party</u>: a person who is an employee of the receiving party who assists with evaluating or maintaining this litigation and who is not involved with patent prosecution or the technical research and development of products. For the avoidance of

doubt, this person will not be barred from providing general business advice to the receiving party.

3. SCOPE

The protections conferred by this Stipulation and Order cover not only Protected Material (as defined above), but also any information copied or extracted therefrom, as well as all copies, excerpts, summaries, or compilations thereof, plus testimony, conversations, or presentations by parties or counsel to or in court or in other settings that might reveal Protected Material.

4. DURATION

Even after the termination of this litigation, the confidentiality obligations imposed by this Order shall remain in effect until a Designating Party agrees otherwise in writing or a court order otherwise directs. For a period of six months after final termination of this action, this court shall retain jurisdiction to enforce the terms of this order.

5. DESIGNATING PROTECTED MATERIAL

5.1 Exercise of Restraint and Care in Designating Material for Protection. Each Party or non-party that designates information or items for protection under this Order must take care to limit any such designation to specific material that qualifies under the appropriate standards. A Designating Party must take care to designate for protection only those parts of material, documents, items, or oral or written communications that qualify so that other portions of the material, documents, items, or communications for which protection is not warranted are not swept unjustifiably within the ambit of this Order.

Mass, indiscriminate, or routinized designations are prohibited. Designations that are shown to be clearly unjustified, or that have been made for an improper purpose (e.g., to unnecessarily encumber or retard the case development process, or to impose unnecessary expenses and burdens on other parties), expose the Designating Party to sanctions.

If it comes to a Party's or a non-party's attention that information or items that it designated for protection do not qualify for protection at all, or do not qualify for the level of protection initially asserted, that Party or non-party must promptly notify all other parties that it is withdrawing the mistaken designation.

5.2 <u>Manner and Timing of Designations</u>. Except as otherwise provided in this Order (see, e.g., second paragraph of section 5.2(a), below), or as otherwise stipulated or ordered, material that qualifies for protection under this Order must be clearly so designated before the material is disclosed or produced.

Designation in conformity with this Order requires:

(a) for information in documentary form (apart from transcripts of depositions or other pretrial or trial proceedings), that the Producing Party affix the legend "CONFIDENTIAL" or "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL — ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" on each page that contains protected material. If only a portion or portions of the material on a page qualifies for protection, the Producing Party also must clearly identify the protected portion(s) (e.g., by making appropriate markings in the margins) and must specify, for each portion, the level of protection being asserted (either "CONFIDENTIAL" or "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL" — ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY").

A Party or non-party that makes original documents or materials available for inspection need not designate them for protection until after the inspecting Party has indicated which material it would like copied and produced. During the inspection and before the designation, all of the material made available for inspection shall be deemed "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL — ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY." After the inspecting Party has identified the documents it wants copied and produced, the Producing Party must determine which documents, or portions thereof, qualify for protection under this Order, then, before producing the specified documents, the Producing Party must affix the appropriate legend ("CONFIDENTIAL" or "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL — ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY") on each page that contains Protected Material. If only a portion or portions of the material on a page qualifies for protection, the Producing Party also must clearly identify the protected portion(s) (e.g., by making appropriate markings in the margins) and must specify, for each portion, the level of protection being asserted (either "CONFIDENTIAL" or "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY").

(b) <u>for testimony given in deposition or in other pretrial or trial proceedings</u> ,				
that the Party or non-party offering or sponsoring the testimony identify on the record, before the				
close of the deposition, hearing, or other proceeding, all protected testimony, and further specify				
any portions of the testimony that qualify as "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL — ATTORNEYS"				
EYES ONLY." When it is impractical to identify separately each portion of testimony that is				
entitled to protection, and when it appears that substantial portions of the testimony may qualify				
for protection, the Party or non-party that sponsors, offers, or gives the testimony may invoke on				
the record (before the deposition or proceeding is concluded) a right to have up to 20 days to				
identify the specific portions of the testimony as to which protection is sought and to specify the				
level of protection being asserted ("CONFIDENTIAL" or "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL —				
ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY"). Only those portions of the testimony that are appropriately				
designated for protection within the 20 days shall be covered by the provisions of this Stipulated				
Protective Order.				

Transcript pages containing Protected Material must be separately bound by the court reporter, who must affix to the top of each such page the legend "CONFIDENTIAL" or "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL — ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY," as instructed by the Party or nonparty offering or sponsoring the witness or presenting the testimony.

- (c) <u>for information produced in some form other than documentary, and for any other tangible items</u>, that the Producing Party affix in a prominent place on the exterior of the container or containers in which the information or item is stored the legend "CONFIDENTIAL" or "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY." If only portions of the information or item warrant protection, the Producing Party, to the extent practicable, shall identify the protected portions, specifying whether they qualify as "Confidential" or as "Highly Confidential Attorneys' Eyes Only."
- 5.3 <u>Inadvertent Failures to Designate</u>. If timely corrected, an inadvertent failure to designate qualified information or items as "Confidential" or "Highly Confidential Attorneys' Eyes Only" does not, standing alone, waive the Designating Party's right to secure protection under this Order for such material. If material is appropriately designated as "Confidential" or

"Highly Confidential — Attorneys' Eyes Only" after the material was initially produced, the Receiving Party, on timely notification of the designation, must make reasonable efforts to assure that the material is treated in accordance with the provisions of this Order.

6. CHALLENGING CONFIDENTIALITY DESIGNATIONS

- 6.1 <u>Timing of Challenges</u>. Unless a prompt challenge to a Designating Party's confidentiality designation is necessary to avoid foreseeable substantial unfairness, unnecessary economic burdens, or a later significant disruption or delay of the litigation, a Party does not waive its right to challenge a confidentiality designation by electing not to mount a challenge promptly after the original designation is disclosed.
- Party's confidentiality designation must do so in good faith and must begin the process by conferring directly (in a voice-to-voice dialogue; other forms of communication are not sufficient) with counsel for the Designating Party. In conferring, the challenging Party must explain the basis for its belief that the confidentiality designation was not proper and must give the Designating Party an opportunity to review the designated material, to reconsider the circumstances, and, if no change in designation is offered, to explain the basis for the chosen designation. A challenging Party may proceed to the next stage of the challenge process only if it has engaged in this meet and confer process first.
- 6.3 <u>Judicial Intervention</u>. A Party that elects to press a challenge to a confidentiality designation after considering the justification offered by the Designating Party may file and serve a motion under Civil Local Rule 7 (and in compliance with Civil Local Rule 79-5, if applicable) that identifies the challenged material and sets forth in detail the basis for the challenge. Each such motion must be accompanied by a competent declaration that affirms that the movant has complied with the meet and confer requirements imposed in the preceding paragraph and that sets forth with specificity the justification for the confidentiality designation that was given by the Designating Party in the meet and confer dialogue.

The burden of persuasion in any such challenge proceeding shall be on the Designating Party. Until the court rules on the challenge, all parties shall continue to afford the material in question the level of protection to which it is entitled under the Designating Party's

original designation.

7. ACCESS TO AND USE OF PROTECTED MATERIAL

ACCESS TO AND USE OF INOTECTED MATERIAL

7.1 <u>Basic Principles</u>. A Receiving Party may use Protected Material that is disclosed or produced by another Party or by a non-party in connection with this case only for prosecuting, defending, or attempting to settle this litigation. Such Protected Material may be disclosed only to the categories of persons and under the conditions described in this Order. When the litigation has been terminated, a Receiving Party must comply with the provisions of section 11, below (FINAL DISPOSITION).

Protected Material must be stored and maintained by a Receiving Party at a location and in a secure manner that ensures that access is limited to the persons authorized under this Order.

- 7.2 <u>Disclosure of "CONFIDENTIAL" Information or Items</u>. Unless otherwise ordered by the court or permitted in writing by the Designating Party, a Receiving Party may disclose any information or item designated CONFIDENTIAL only to:
- (a) the Receiving Party's Outside Counsel of record in this action, as well as employees of said Outside Counsel to whom it is reasonably necessary to disclose the information for this litigation and who have signed the "Agreement to Be Bound by Protective Order" that is attached hereto as Exhibit A;
- (b) the officers, directors, and employees of the Receiving Party (as defined in this Order) to whom disclosure is reasonably necessary for this litigation and who have signed the "Agreement to Be Bound by Protective Order" (Exhibit A);
- (c) experts (as defined in this Order) of the Receiving Party to whom disclosure is reasonably necessary for this litigation and who have signed the "Agreement to Be Bound by Protective Order" (Exhibit A);
 - (d) the Court and its personnel;
- (e) court reporters, their staffs, a jury, and professional vendors to whom disclosure is reasonably necessary for this litigation and who have signed the "Agreement to Be Bound by Protective Order" (Exhibit A);

3

4

5

6

- 8 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21 22
- 23
- 24
- 25 26
- 27
- 28

- Procedures for Approving Disclosure of "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL— 7.4 ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" Information or Items to "Experts".
- Unless otherwise ordered by the court or agreed in writing by the (a) Designating Party, a Party that seeks to disclose to an "Expert" (as defined in this Order) any information or item that has been designated "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" first must make a written request to the Designating Party that (1) identifies the HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL information that the Receiving Party seeks permission to disclose to the Expert, (2) attaches a copy of the Expert's current resume which identifies the full name of the Expert and the city and state of his or her primary residence, the name of the expert's current Employer and Employers for the past 10 years, and (3) a copy of the Agreement to Be Bound by Protective Order" (Exhibit A) signed by the Expert.
- A Party that makes a request and provides the information specified in the (b) preceding paragraph must refrain from providing such material to the Expert for a period of five business days to permit the Designating Party to file a written objection to the disclosure and, if necessary seek a protective order. Any such objection must set forth in detail the grounds on which it is based. If the Designating Party fails to make a written objection within five business days, the Receiving Party may disclose the specifically identified "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL — ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" information or item to its Expert.
- A Party that receives a timely written objection must meet and confer with (c) the Designating Party to try to resolve the matter by agreement. If no agreement is reached, the (through direct voice-to-voice dialogue) Party opposing disclosure to the Expert may file a motion, within three days of filing the objection, as provided in Civil Local Rule 7 (and in compliance with Civil Local Rule 79-5, if applicable) seeking an order from the court barring such disclosure. Any such motion must describe the circumstances with specificity, set forth in detail the reasons for which the disclosure to the Expert is not reasonably necessary, assess the risk of harm that the disclosure would entail and suggest any additional means that might be used to reduce that risk. In addition, any such motion must be accompanied by a competent declaration in which the movant describes the parties' efforts to resolve the matter by agreement (i.e., the extent and the content

of the meet and confer discussions) and sets forth the reasons advanced by the Designating Party for its refusal to approve the disclosure.

In any such proceeding the Party opposing disclosure to the Expert shall bear the burden of proving that the risk of harm that the disclosure would entail (under the safeguards proposed) outweighs the Receiving Party's need to disclose the Protected Material to its Expert.

8. PROTECTED MATERIAL SUBPOENAED OR ORDERED PRODUCED IN OTHER LITIGATION

If a Receiving Party is served with a subpoena or an order issued in other litigation that would compel disclosure of any information or items designated in this action as "CONFIDENTIAL" or "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL — ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY," the Receiving Party must so notify the Designating Party, in writing (by fax, if possible) immediately and in no event more than three court days after receiving the subpoena or order. Such notification must include a copy of the subpoena or court order.

The Receiving Party also must immediately inform in writing the Party who caused the subpoena or order to issue in the other litigation that some or all the material covered by the subpoena or order is the subject of this Protective Order. In addition, the Receiving Party must deliver a copy of this Stipulated Protective Order promptly to the Party in the other action that caused the subpoena or order to issue.

The purpose of imposing these duties is to alert the interested parties to the existence of this Protective Order and to afford the Designating Party in this case an opportunity to try to protect its confidentiality interests in the court from which the subpoena or order issued. The Designating Party shall bear the burdens and the expenses of seeking protection in that court of its confidential material and nothing in these provisions should be construed as authorizing or encouraging a Receiving Party in this action to disobey a lawful directive from another court.

9. UNAUTHORIZED DISCLOSURE OF PROTECTED MATERIAL

If a Receiving Party learns that, by inadvertence or otherwise, it has disclosed Protected Material to any person or in any circumstance not authorized under this Stipulated Protective Order, the Receiving Party must immediately (a) notify in writing the Designating Party of the

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

unauthorized disclosures, (b) use its best efforts to retrieve all copies of the Protected Material, (c) inform the person or persons to whom unauthorized disclosures were made of all the terms of this Order, and (d) request such person or persons to execute the "Acknowledgment and Agreement to Be Bound" that is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

10. FILING PROTECTED MATERIAL

Without written permission from the Designating Party or a court order secured after appropriate notice to all interested persons, a Party may not file in the public record in this action any Protected Material. A Party that seeks to file under seal any Protected Material must comply with Civil Local Rule 79-5.

11. FINAL DISPOSITION

Unless otherwise ordered or agreed in writing by the Producing Party, within sixty days after the final termination of this action, each Receiving Party must return or destroy all Protected Material to the Producing Party. As used in this subdivision, "all Protected Material" includes all copies, abstracts, compilations, summaries or any other form of reproducing or capturing any of the Protected Material. With permission in writing from the Designating Party, the Receiving Party may destroy some or all of the Protected Material instead of returning it. Whether the Protected Material is returned or destroyed, the Receiving Party must submit a written certification to the Producing Party (and, if not the same person or entity, to the Designating Party) by the sixty day deadline that identifies (by category, where appropriate) all the Protected Material that was returned or destroyed and that affirms that the Receiving Party has not retained any copies, abstracts, compilations, summaries or other forms of reproducing or capturing any of the Protected Material. Notwithstanding this provision, Outside Counsel are entitled to retain an archival copy of all pleadings, motion papers, transcripts, legal memoranda, correspondence or attorney work product, even if such materials contain Protected Material. Any such archival copies that contain or constitute Protected Material remain subject to this Protective Order as set forth in Section 4 (DURATION), above.

27

12. PRIVILEGED DOCUMENTS

If information subject to a claim of attorney-client privilege, attorney work product or any other ground on which production of such information should not have been but is nevertheless inadvertently produced to a party, such production shall in no way prejudice or otherwise constitute a waiver of, or estoppel as to, any claim of privilege, work product or other ground for withholding production to which the Producing Party would otherwise be entitled. If a claim of inadvertent production is made with respect to information then in the custody of another party, such party shall promptly return, sequester, or destroy the specified information and any copies it has. The Receiving Party must take reasonable steps to retrieve the specified information if the party disclosed it before being notified. Such information shall not be used by the Receiving Party for any purpose other than in connection with a motion to compel (which shall be filed under seal). The party returning such material may then move the Court for an Order compelling production of the material, which shall be filed under seal, and said motion shall not assert as a ground for entering such an Order the fact or circumstances of the inadvertent production.

13. MISCELLANEOUS

- 13.1 <u>Right to Further Relief.</u> Nothing in this Order abridges the right of any person to seek its modification by the Court in the future.
- 13.2 Right to Assert Other Objections. By stipulating to the entry of this Protective Order no Party waives any right it otherwise would have to object to disclosing or producing any information or item on any ground not addressed in this Stipulated Protective Order. Similarly, no Party waives any right to object on any ground to use in evidence of any of the material covered by this Protective Order.

Case 5:08-cv-00877-PSG Document 142 Filed 06/16/09 Page 14 of 16

1	IT IS SO STIPULATED, THROUGH COUNSEL OF RECORD:	
2 3	Dated: June 4, 2009	K&L GATES
4	·	
5		By: Harold H. Davis
6		Attorneys for Plaintiffs ACER, INC., ACER AMERICA CORPORATION and
7		GATEWAY, INC.
8		DADRITA DDAIDT O MADTEL LLD
9	Dated: June 4, 2009	FARELLA BRAUN & MARTEL LLP
10		D.
11		By: John L. Cooper
12		Attorneys for Defendants TECHNOLOGY PROPERTIES LIMITED
13		and ALLIACENSE LIMITED
14	·	
15	Dated: June 4, 2009	KIRBY NOONAN LANCE & HOGE
16		•
17		By: Charles T. Hoge
18		·
19		Attorneys for Defendants PATRIOT SCIENTIFIC CORPORATION
20	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION IT IS SO ORDERED:	
21		
22	Dated: June 16, 2009	
23		
24		
25		The Honorable Loward R. Lloyd Unded States Magistrate Judge
26		
27	·	
28		14.

EXHIBIT A

ACKNOWLEDGMENT AND AGREEMENT TO BE BOUND

I, _______ [print or type full name], of ______ [print or type full address], declare under penalty of perjury that I have read in its entirety and understand the Stipulated Protective Order that was issued by the United States District Court for the Northern District of California on [date] in the case of Acer, Inc., et al. v. Technologies

Properties Limited, et al., Case No. 05-0877 JF. I agree to comply with and to be bound by all the terms of this Stipulated Protective Order and I understand and acknowledge that failure to so comply could expose me to sanctions and punishment in the nature of contempt. I solemnly promise that I will not disclose in any manner any information or item that is subject to this Stipulated Protective Order to any person or entity except in strict compliance with the provisions of this Order.

I further understand that the only portions of Defendants' Preliminary Infringement

Contentions that should be treated as "confidential" are what defendants crafted themselves (i.e. any reverse-engineering reports, etc.) as well as whatever works, notes, markings, highlighting, conclusions or observations they added to the Preliminary Infringement Contentions documents.

Accordingly, the publicly available information in Defendants' Preliminary Infringement

Contentions, including a third party's own information and data, should not be treated as confidential.

I further agree to submit to the jurisdiction of the United States District Court for the Northern District of California for the purpose of enforcing the terms of this Stipulated Protective Order, even if such enforcement proceedings occur after termination of this action.

Date:_____ City and State where sworn and signed: Printed name:____ [printed name] Signature:_ [signature]

Case 5:08-cv-00877-PSG Document 142 Filed 06/16/09 Page 16 of 16